Tautomerism of Benzo- and Cyclopenta-[1,2,6]thiadiazine S,S-Dioxides

Ana Castro and Ana Martínez*

Instituto de Química Médica (CSIC), Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain

The synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of fused 1,2,6-thiadiazine *S*,*S*-dioxides **1–6** have been carried out. The tautomerism of this heterocyclic system has been studied by means of experimental and theoretical techniques.

Prototropic tautomerism is one of the most interesting problems in heterocyclic chemistry and has been the subject of many studies.¹ Additionally, tautomerism can be decisive regarding biological action, as has been shown for nucleic acids.²

Continuing our research into this problem,³ we report here the tautomerism of dioxides of thiadiazines 1-6, compounds which show interesting muscular relaxant properties *in vitro*.⁴

This kind of compound can exist in two tautomeric forms, **a** and **b**. With the aim of determining which form predominates,



and in order to investigate whether the size of the ring (n = 3 or 4) influences the prototropic exchange, a detailed experimental study in solution and the solid state, and a theoretical one corresponding to the gas phase, have been carried out.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis.—Thiadiazines (1 and 2) and their corresponding N-CH₃ derivatives (3-6) were obtained by condensation of sulfamide and N-methylsulfamide with 2-acetylcycloalkanones in methanol saturated with hydrogen chloride (Scheme 1). Compound 1 had previously been described by Wright,⁵ but only analytical data were reported. N-Methylsulfamide was synthesized following an efficient procedure described in our laboratory⁶ in 95% overall yield. The condensation of this sulfamide with 2-acetylcycloalkanones afforded mixtures of two different isomers whose ratios were estimated by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture. These were 45:55 and 33:67 for derivatives **3**:4 and **5**:6, respectively. The isomers were separated by silica gel column chromatography.

NMR (¹H and ¹³C) spectroscopic data for compounds 1–6 are collected in Tables 1 and 2. The substitution patterns were determined by means of NOE experiments. Thus, selective irradiation of the signal corresponding to the NCH₃ protons resulted in a positive NOE effect on the signal corresponding to the CCH₃ group when the isomer was N-3 substituted, or on the signal corresponding to the methylene group nearest to the heterocyclic nitrogen when the isomer was N-1 substituted.

In order to unequivocally assign all the NMR signals (¹H and ¹³C), COSY and HETCOR experiments were performed on compounds **3** and **6**. Quaternary heterocyclic carbon atoms directly linked to nitrogen atoms (C-4 and C-7a or C-8a) were assigned by selective irradiation and by recording the ¹H-¹³C coupled spectra. These experiments were carried out on compounds **3**-**6**. By irradiation at CCH_3 , the coupling between



 CCH_3 and C-4 (the quaternary carbon directly bonded) disappeared; thus, C-4 and C-7a or C-8a could be unequivocally assigned.

As a result of the complete assignment, it is noted that CCH_3 is shielded by around 7 ppm upon substitution at N-3, a fact which can be used for future elucidations.

Tautomerism in Solution.—The ¹³C NMR data of the thiadiazines synthesized can be used to assign the tautomeric structures present in solution. By comparison of the chemical shifts of 1 and 2 (see Table 2) with those of model compounds 3–6 in which the prototropy is blocked, it can be concluded that in $CDCl_3$ and $[^{2}H_{6}]DMSO$ solutions, thiadiazine 1 exists mainly as tautomer **a**, while derivative 2 exists mainly as tautomer **b**.

However, the spectra showed significant peak broadening for the quaternary carbons directly linked to nitrogen atoms. This observation can be explained assuming a low rate of prototropic exchange between the two nitrogens. The kinetics of the prototropic exchange is greatly dependent on the solvents' nature. In [${}^{2}H_{6}$]DMSO at 298 K, exchange is so slow that the signals corresponding to these atoms are not observed. When spectra were recorded in [${}^{2}H_{8}$]THF (THF = tetrahydrofuran) at 173 K, no modifications of the shapes of the signals could be observed, showing again that in the case of dioxides of thiadiazines, prototropy is not very sensitive to temperature.⁷ On the other hand, a scalar relaxation of the second kind may operate on these carbon atoms, and so an appreciable line

	$\delta_{ m H}$ (multipl	icity) ^a						
Compound	5-H	6-H	7-H	8-H	CCH ₃	NR	J/Hz	
1	2.82 (m)	1.73 (m)		2.50 (m)	2.25 (s)	8.87 (s)		
2	2.63 (t)	2.01 (q)	2.76 (t)		2.18 (t)	9.20 (s)	J _{5-н,6-н} 8	
3	2.37 (t)	1.79 (m)	1.70 (m)	2.52 (t)	2.25 (s)	3.40 (s)	J _{6-н,7-н} 8 J _{5-н,6-н} б J _{6-н,7-н} б	
4	2.37 (t)	1.70 (m)		2.58 (t)	2.18 (s)	3.44 (s)	J _{7-н,8-н} б J _{5-н,6-н} б I	
5	2.69 (t)	2.16 (q)	2.91 (t)	_	2.20 (s)	3.44 (s)	$J_{5-H,6-H}$ 7	
6	2.60 (t)	1.98 (q)	2.62 (t)	_	2.15 (s)	3.49 (s)	J _{6-н,7-н} / J _{5-н,6-н} 8 J _{6-н,7-н} 8	
	Compound 1 2 3 4 5 6		$\frac{\delta_{\rm H} ({\rm multiplicity})^{a}}{5-{\rm H}} \frac{\delta_{\rm -H}}{6-{\rm H}}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{2.82 ({\rm m})}{2.63 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.73}{2.01 ({\rm q})}$ $3 \frac{2.37 ({\rm t})}{1.79 ({\rm m})}$ $\frac{4}{2.37 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.70}{1.70}$ $5 \frac{2.69 ({\rm t})}{2.16 ({\rm q})}$ $6 \frac{2.60 ({\rm t})}{1.98 ({\rm q})}$	$\frac{\delta_{\rm H} ({\rm multiplicity})^{a}}{5 \cdot {\rm H}} \frac{6 \cdot {\rm H}}{1 \cdot 7 \cdot {\rm H}} \frac{7 \cdot {\rm H}}{2.63 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.73 ({\rm m})}{2.01 ({\rm q})} \frac{2.76 ({\rm t})}{2.76 ({\rm t})}$ 3 2.37 (t) 1.79 (m) 1.70 (m) 4 2.37 (t) 1.70 (m) 5 2.69 (t) 2.16 (q) 2.91 (t) 6 2.60 (t) 1.98 (q) 2.62 (t)	$\frac{\delta_{\rm H} ({\rm multiplicity})^{a}}{5 \cdot {\rm H}} \frac{6 \cdot {\rm H}}{1 \cdot 7 \cdot {\rm H}} \frac{7 \cdot {\rm H}}{2 \cdot 50 ({\rm m})} \frac{8 \cdot {\rm H}}{-}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{2.82 ({\rm m})}{2.63 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.73 ({\rm m})}{2.01 ({\rm q})} \frac{2.76 ({\rm t})}{2.76 ({\rm t})} \frac{-}{-}$ $\frac{3}{2 \cdot 37 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.79 ({\rm m})}{1.70 ({\rm m})} \frac{1.70 ({\rm m})}{2.52 ({\rm t})}$ $\frac{4}{2 \cdot 37 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.70 ({\rm m})}{2.16 ({\rm q})} \frac{2.91 ({\rm t})}{2.91 ({\rm t})} -$ $\frac{6}{2 \cdot 60 ({\rm t})} \frac{1.98 ({\rm q})}{2.62 ({\rm t})} \frac{2.62 ({\rm t})}{-}$	$\frac{\delta_{H} (\text{multiplicity})^{a}}{5 \cdot H} = \frac{6 \cdot H}{7 \cdot H} = \frac{7 \cdot H}{8 \cdot H} = \frac{8 \cdot H}{CCH_{3}}$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2.82 \text{ (m)}}{2.63 \text{ (t)}} = \frac{1.73 \text{ (m)}}{2.01 \text{ (q)}} = \frac{2.50 \text{ (m)}}{-} = \frac{2.25 \text{ (s)}}{2.18 \text{ (t)}}$ $\frac{3}{2} = \frac{2.37 \text{ (t)}}{1.79 \text{ (m)}} = \frac{1.70 \text{ (m)}}{1.70 \text{ (m)}} = \frac{2.52 \text{ (t)}}{2.52 \text{ (t)}} = \frac{2.25 \text{ (s)}}{2.18 \text{ (s)}}$ $\frac{4}{5} = \frac{2.37 \text{ (t)}}{2.69 \text{ (t)}} = \frac{1.70 \text{ (m)}}{2.91 \text{ (t)}} = \frac{2.58 \text{ (t)}}{-} = \frac{2.18 \text{ (s)}}{2.20 \text{ (s)}}$ $\frac{6}{6} = 2.60 \text{ (t)} = 1.98 \text{ (q)} = 2.62 \text{ (t)} = - 2.15 \text{ (s)}$	$\frac{\delta_{H} (multiplicity)^{a}}{5 \cdot H} = \frac{6 \cdot H}{1 - 7 \cdot H} = \frac{8 \cdot H}{8 \cdot H} = \frac{CCH_{3}}{CCH_{3}} = \frac{NR}{NR}$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2.82 (m)}{2.63 (t)} = \frac{1.73 (m)}{2.01 (q)} = \frac{2.76 (t)}{-} = \frac{2.50 (m)}{2.18 (t)} = \frac{2.25 (s)}{9.20 (s)}$ $\frac{3}{2} = \frac{2.37 (t)}{1.79 (m)} = \frac{1.70 (m)}{1.70 (m)} = \frac{2.52 (t)}{2.25 (s)} = \frac{2.18 (s)}{3.40 (s)}$ $\frac{4}{5} = \frac{2.37 (t)}{2.69 (t)} = \frac{1.70 (m)}{2.16 (q)} = \frac{2.91 (t)}{-} = \frac{2.20 (s)}{3.44 (s)}$ $\frac{5}{6} = \frac{2.60 (t)}{1.98 (q)} = \frac{2.62 (t)}{-} = \frac{2.15 (s)}{3.49 (s)}$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

a q = quintet.

Table 2 13 C NMR data (δ_c) of compounds 1–6

Compound	Solvent	C-4	C-4a	C-5	C-6	C-7	C-7a	C-8	C-8a	CCH_3	NCH3
1	[² H ₆]DMSO ^a	ь	106.51	23.17	21.93	20.74		28.80	b	22.24	
	ČDČl _a ť	175.15	108.06	23.92	22.25	21.04		28.90	152.32	23.91	_
	CDCl ₃ -CF ₃ CO ₂ H	174.44	110.63	24.19	22.20	21.05		30.21	161.51	22.80	_
	CP/MAS	175.34	106.10	23.97	22.76	21.28		29.10	154.51	23.97	
2	[² H ₆]DMSO ^a	b	109.86	21.28	26.55	34.65	b			19.06	
	CDCl ₃ ^d	150.01	111.38	21.39	26.28	35.36	181.75	_		19.38	
	CDCl ₃ -CF ₃ CO ₂ H	156.52	113.58	21.38	26.97	35.32	180.39	_		19.37	
	CP/MAS	150.72	111.93	21.42	26.86	35.77	184.54			19.44	_
3	CDCl ₃ ^e	173.76	109.78	24.78	21.19	21.46		27.47	154.28	23.89	30.43
4	$CDCl_3^{f}$	153.89	109.14	25.68	21.46	22.49		34.39	173.64	16.31	32.02
5	CDCl ₃	170.48	112.47	29.66	21.60	33.23	163.26	_		24.20	32.98
6	CDCl ₃	152.90	112.27	28.15	21.52	36.01	180.20	—	—	17.60	31.41

^a DMSO = dimethylsulfoxide. ^b Not observed. ^c ¹J_{CH₃} = ¹J_{C-5} = ¹J_{C-6} = ¹J_{C-7} = ¹J_{C-8} = 136 Hz. ^d ¹J_{CH₃} = ¹J_{C-5} = ¹J_{C-6} = ¹J_{C-7} = 147 Hz. ^e ¹J_{C-5} = ¹J_{C-6} = ¹J_{C-7} = 113 Hz; ¹J_{CCH₃} = 129 Hz; ¹J_{NCH₃} = 124; ³J_{C-6} = ³J_{C-7} = 43 Hz. ^f ¹J_{C-5} = ¹J_{C-8} = 128 Hz; ¹J_{C-6} = ¹J_{C-7} = 124 Hz; ¹J_{CCH₃} = 130 Hz; ¹J_{NCH₃} = 142.

broadening can be observed.⁸ When a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid were added, the prototropic exchange becomes catalysed, and consequently all the carbon atoms were observed as narrow signals. This addition of acid does not produce any shift of the spectral peaks, which proves that compound protonation can be discounted.

Tautomerism in the Solid State.—The ^{13}C CP/MAS spectra of derivatives 1 and 2 (Table 2) indicate that in the solid state both compounds exist as single tautomers since each carbon appears as a unique signal. By comparison of the chemical shifts of 1 and 2 in the solid state with those found in solution, it can be concluded that thiadiazine 1 exists as tautomer **a** in the solid state, while derivative 2 exists as tautomer **b**.

Theoretical Calculations of the Tautomerism of Thiadiazines 1 and 2.—Tautomerism in heterocycles can also be studied by quantum-chemical calculations since the relative stability of two tautomers depends on the difference between their lowest energies.⁹ In the present case, two different semiempirical methods were chosen AM1,¹⁰ which has proved useful for the study of tautomeric equilibria,¹¹ and PM3,¹² which has been recently shown to give good results in this type of study.¹³ AM1 calculations were carried out with the AMPAC program package¹⁴ and PM3 calculations with the MOPAC V5.0.¹⁵ In all cases, the ChemQM interface of the molecular modelling program ChemX¹⁶ was used and full geometry optimizations with the Fletcher–Powell algorithm¹⁷ were carried out. The input geometries were the standard ones within ChemX, taking into account the X-ray data for the N–SO₂–N moiety.¹⁸ The heats of formation (ΔH_f°) and the populations of each tautomer calculated by a Boltzmann distribution at 298 K (*n*) are gathered in Table 3. As can be seen, the more abundant tautomer is the one that places the proton at N-1 (tautomer **a**) in the case of the 6-membered ring (compound 1). However, if the ring size is smaller (compound 2), the tautomeric equilibrium is strongly shifted to the form that locates the proton on N-3 (tautomer **b**). These results are in good agreement with those found in solution and solid state.

Conclusions.—Thiadiazines 1 and 2 exist as tautomers 1a and 2b. This fact can be explained if one considers the possibility of the existence of a Mills–Nixon effect in this kind of heterocycle, which produces a fixation of the π -electron system. Thus, the Mills–Nixon effect may operate on compound 2, stabilizing the tautomer with a single bond along the ring-fusion.

Although from the beginning of this century ¹⁹ to our days,²⁰ there has been some controversy about the existence of this effect, more recent theoretical studies are clearly in favour of this kind of electronic fixation.²¹ We have recently shown²² that the Mills–Nixon effect operates in the fused pyrazole heterocyclic system. Considering the parallelism found between pyrazoles and thiadiazines,²³ we might extrapolate these results to provide an explanation for the tautomerism found in dioxides of fused thiadiazines. There is, however, one important structural difference between these two heterocyclic series, *viz.* aromaticity. The thiadiazine ring is non-planar (the SO₂ group is found out of the plane defined by the other ring atoms) and so the ring cannot be aromatic.²⁴ For this reason, tautomerism in dioxides of fused thiadiazines is probably controlled not only

Table 3 Calculated heats of formation and relative populations at 298 K for compounds 1 and 2

Compound	Method	Tautomer	$\Delta H_{ m f}^{\circ}/$ kcal mol ⁻¹ a	$\Delta H^{\circ}_{ m f}({f a}) = \Delta H^{\circ}_{ m f}({f b})/{ m kcal\ mol^{-1}}{}^a$	n (%)
1	AM1 PM3	a b a b	- 55.243 - 54.971 - 69.729 - 69.541	-0.272 -0.188	$\begin{cases} 61 \\ 39 \\ 58 \\ 42 \end{cases}$
2	AM1 PM3	a b a b	-45.766 -47.032 -63.997 -65.224	1.266 1.227	$ \begin{cases} 10 \\ 90 \\ 10 \\ 90 \end{cases} $

a 1 cal = 4.184 J.

by electronic effects, but by structural ones as bending effects, proton-proton interactions near the ring fusion site and possible strain due to the fusion of the five-membered ring.

Experimental

Melting points were determined with a Reichert-Jung Thermovar and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh). ¹H NMR spectra were obtained at 298 K using tetramethylsilane as internal standard on Varian-Gemini 200 and Varian XL-300 spectrometers, operating at 200 and 300 MHz, respectively. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian-Gemini 200 and Bruker AM-200 spectrometers, operating at 50 MHz and using tetramethylsilane as internal reference. ¹³C CP/MAS-NMR spectra were recorded with a TOSS sequence on a Bruker-CXP-400 instrument operating at 100 MHz, under the following conditions: spinning rate 4.1 kHz; spectral width 50.0 kHz; acquisition time 5.0 s.

4-Methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1H-2,1,3-benzothiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (1).- A solution of sulfamide (6.0 g, 0.06 mol) and 2acetylcyclohexanone (8.4 g, 0.06 mol) in methanol (50 cm³) was cooled to 0 °C and saturated with hydrogen chloride. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from water-methanol to yield 1 (9.6 g, 74%), m.p. 178-179 °C (lit., 5 180-181 °C).

4-Methyl-3,5,6,7-tetrahydrocyclopenta[c][1,2,6]thiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (2).—2-Acetylcyclopentanone (7.6 g, 0.06 mol) was added to a solution of sulfamide (6.0 g, 0.06 mol) in methanol (50 cm³). The reaction mixture was saturated with hydrogen chloride at 0 °C and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue recrystallized from water to yield 2 (5.0 g, 43%), m.p. 142 °C (Found: C, 45.2; H, 5.6; N, 15.3; S, 17.1. C₇H₁₀N₂O₂S requires C, 45.17; H, 5.37; N, 15.04; S, 17.22%); $v_{max}(KBr)/cm^{-1}$ 3150 (N–H), 1310 and 1120 (SO₂).

1,4-Dimethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1H-2,1,3-benzothiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (3) and 3,4-Dimethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3H-2,1,3benzothiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (4).-A solution of methylsulfamide⁶ (1 g, 9 mmol) and 2-acetylcyclohexanone (1.2 g, 9 mmol) in methanol (25 cm³) was saturated with hydrogen chloride at 0 °C and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue, which was a mixture of two isomers, was chromatographed on a silica gel column using ethyl acetatehexane (1:1) as eluent.

From the first fraction was isolated 3 (0.81 g, 41%),

m.p. 110-112 °C (Found: C, 50.4; H, 6.8; N, 13.2; S, 14.8. C₉H₁₄N₂O₂S requires C, 50.48: H, 6.53; N, 13.07; S, 14.97%); $v_{\text{max}}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1400 and 1150 (SO₂).

From the second column fraction was isolated compound 4 (0.90 g, 47%), m.p. 63-65 °C (Found: C, 50.7; H, 6.8; N, 12.9; S, 14.6. C₉H₁₄N₂O₂S requires C, 50.48; H, 6.53; N, 13.07; S, 14.97%); $v_{max}(KBr)/cm^{-1}$ 1410 and 1160 (SO₂).

1,4-Dimethyl-1,5,6,7-tetrahydrocyclopenta[c][1,2,6]thiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (5) and 3,4-Dimethyl-3,5,6,7-tetrahydrocyclopenta[c][1,2,6]thiadiazine 2,2-Dioxide (6).—A mixture of methylsulfamide (1 g, 9 mmol) and 2-acetylcyclopentanone (1.1 g, 9 mmol) in methanol (25 cm³) was saturated with hydrogen chloride at 0 °C and stirred at room temperature for 5 days. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column using diethyl ether as eluent.

From the first fraction was isolated derivative 5 (0.12 g, 7%), m.p. 93-95 °C (Found: C, 47.75; H, 6.3; N, 14.0; S, 15.9. C₈H₁₂N₂O₂S requires C, 48.01; H, 5.99; N, 13.99; S, 16.02%); $v_{\text{max}}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1320 and 1160 (SO₂).

From the second fraction was isolated compound 6 (0.26 g, 15%), m.p. 110 °C (Found: C, 47.7; H, 6.3; N, 14.15; S, 16.0. C₉H₁₄N₂O₂S requires C, 48.01; H, 5.99; N, 13.99; S, 16.02%); $v_{\text{max}}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1300 and 1160 (SO₂).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dra Isabel Sobrados [Instituto de Ciencias de Materiales, Sede C (CSIC)] for recording CP-MAS spectra. Financial support from CICYT (projects nos. FAR 90-746 and SAF 93-710) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 (a) A. R. Katritzky and J. M. Lagowski, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 1963, 1, 339; 1964, 2, 1; (b) J. Elguero, C. Marzin, A. R. Katritzky and P. Linda, The Tautomerism in Heterocycles, Academic Press, New York, 1976; (c) B. Pullman and A. Pullman, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 1971, 13, 77
- 2 A. R. Katritzky, M. Karelson and P. A. Harris, Heterocycles, 1991, 32. 329
- 3 (a) J. Elguero, P. Goya, A. Martínez and I. Rozas, Chem. Ber., 1989, 919; (b) A. de la Cruz, J. Elguero, P. Goya and A. Martínez, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 6135.
- 4 A. Castro, A. Martínez, I. Cardelus and J. Llenas, presented at the VIIIth Spanish Symposium on Therapeutical Chemistry, Salamanca, 1993.
- 5 J. B. Wright, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1964, **29**, 1905. 6 J. Elguero, P. Goya and A. Martínez, *Heterocycles*, 1989, **29**, 245
- 7 (a) P. Goya, A. Martínez and M. L. Jimeno, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1990, 783; (b) V. J. Arán, P. Goya and C. Ochoa, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 1988, 44, 81.

- 8 F. W. Wehrli, A. P. Marchand and S. Wehrli, *Interpretation of Carbon-13 NMR Spectra*, Wiley, New York, 1988, pp. 221–223.
- 9 J. S. Kwialtowski, T. J. Zielinski and R. Rein, Adv. Quantum Chem., 1986, 18, 85.
- 10 M. J. S. Dewar, E. G. Zoebisch, E. F. Healy and J. J. P. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 3902.
- 11 A. R. Katritzky and M. Karelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 1561.
- 12 J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem. 1989, 10, 221.
- 13 W. M. F. Fabian, J. Comput. Chem., 1991, 12, 17.
- 14 M. J. S. Dewar and J. J. P. Stewart, *QCPE Bull.*, 1986, 6, 24. QCPE program no. 506.
- 15 J. J. P. Stewart, *QCPE Bull.*, 1989, **9**, 10. QCPE program no. 455. 16 Chem-QM package, Chem-X molecular modelling program,
- Chemical Design Ltd., Oxford. 17 R. Fletcher and M. J. D. Powell, Comput. J., 1963, 6, 163.
- 18 P. Goya, A. Martínez, C. Ochoa, M. Stud, M. L. Jimeno, M. Martínez-Ripoll and C. Foces-Foces, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, 41, 3105.
- 19 W. Mills and I. Nixon, J. Chem. Soc., 1930, 2510.

- 20 (a) M. Eckert-Maksic, A. Lesar and Z. B. Maksic, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1992, 993; (b) A. G. Davies and K. M. Ng, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1992, 1857; (c) K. K. Baldridge and J. S. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9583.
- 21 (a) D. V. Avila, E. R. Li and K. M. Ng, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 355; (b) M. Eckert-Maksic, Z. B. Maksic, M. Hodoscek and K. Poljanek, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1992, 42, 869.
- 22 A. Martínez, J. Elguero, M. L. Jimeno and A. Fruchier, New. J. Chem., 1994, 18, 269.
- 23 J. Elguero, C. Ochoa, M. Stud, C. Esteban-Calderón, M. Martínez-Ripoll, J. P. Fayet and M. C. Vertut, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 536.
- 24 J. Elguero, P. Goya, A. Martínez, I. Rozas, O. Mó, J. L. G. Paz and M. Yañez, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1990, 3, 470.

Paper 4/00634H Received 1st February 1994 Accepted 28th March 1994